UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA CENTRAL DIVISION

ASHLEY BLUECOAT,

Case No. 3:20-cv-3022

Plaintiff,

VS.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

FEDEX GROUND PACKAGE SYSTEM, INC.,

Defendant.

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, and for her Complaint against the above-named Defendant, states and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1.

Plaintiff Ashley Bluecoat is a resident of Timber Lake, Dewey County, South Dakota.

2.

Defendant FedEx Ground Package System, Inc. is a Delaware Corporation with its principal office in Pennsylvania and is responsible for the acts and omissions of its employees. Upon information and belief, Travis Rolf was employed by FedEx Ground Package System, Inc. on or about June 4, 2020.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

3.

This Honorable Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. The amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000.

Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to this cause of action occurred in this District.

FACTS

5.

On or about June 4, 2020, Plaintiff Ashley Bluecoat was traveling eastbound on 133rd Street in Dewey County, SD.

6.

At the same time, on or about June 4, 2020, Rolf, while working within the scope of his employment with Defendant FedEx Ground Package System, Inc., was operating a motor vehicle and was traveling westbound on 133rd Street in Dewey County, SD.

7.

Rolf, driving while impaired from methamphetamine, fell asleep and began traveling on the wrong side of the road. Rolf awoke just before impact with Plaintiff's vehicle.

8.

Plaintiff was braking and attempted to avoid the crash but collided with the right side of Rolf's vehicle as it passed by causing Plaintiff's vehicle to rotate and come to rest in the roadway.

9.

Law enforcement arrested Rolf at the collision scene for reckless driving, driving under the influence, and possession of a controlled substance.

Upon information and belief, in 2014, Rolf was convicted of Reckless Driving after being charged with driving under the influence.

11.

Upon information and belief, in 2015, law enforcement cited Rolf for a safety violation under the Federal Regulations Pertaining to Motor Carriers, and Rolf pled guilty to the citation.

12.

At the time of the collision, Rolf was under the influence of methamphetamine while operating a motor vehicle in the course and scope of his employment.

13.

As a direct and proximate result of both collisions, the Plaintiff sustained injuries and trauma including, but not limited to, personal injuries which required medical treatment. Additionally, she has experienced pain and suffering, loss of enjoyment of the capacity of life, emotional distress, past and future medical costs and expenses, lost wages and other general and special damages.

COUNT I Negligence

14.

Plaintiff hereby realleges the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint and hereby incorporates them as if fully set forth herein.

At all times relevant hereto, Rolf was acting within the scope of his actual, express, apparent, and/or implied authority, as well as acting within the scope of his employment duties for Defendant.

16.

Defendant through Rolf owed a duty of care to the Plaintiff to exercise ordinary care and awareness in the operation, management, maintenance and control of his vehicle including, but not limited to, a duty to operate his vehicle in a safe and reasonable manner, a duty to use the vehicle in a safe and reasonable manner, and a duty to travel along the streets and roads located in the State of South Dakota in a safe and reasonable manner.

17.

At the time of the collision, Defendant through Rolf negligently, carelessly and/or recklessly departed from the proper standard of care which caused the collision.

18.

Defendant through Rolf breached the duties owed to the Plaintiff by negligently, carelessly and/or recklessly operating his vehicle, by negligently, carelessly and/or recklessly using his vehicle, and by negligently, carelessly and/or recklessly traveling along the roads and streets located in the State of South Dakota, and in several respects, including, but not limited to:

- (a) Carelessly operating his vehicle;
- (b) Failing to keep a proper lookout for other vehicles;
- (c) Failing to keep his vehicle under control;

(d) Operating the vehicle after ingesting a substance that impaired his ability to properly operate his vehicle; and

(e) Otherwise generally failing to operate his vehicle in a safe and prudent manner.

19.

Rolf's operation of his vehicle was negligent, careless and/or reckless and the proximate cause of injuries and damages sustained by the Plaintiff.

20.

As a direct and proximate cause of Rolf's negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness, the Plaintiff has sustained injuries, including, but not limited to, personal injuries resulting in a course of medical treatment; past, present and future pain and suffering; loss of enjoyment of the capacity of life, emotional distress; past and future medical costs and expenses; lost wages and other general and special damages; all of which are compensable under South Dakota law.

21.

The conduct of Rolf that resulted in his collision with the Plaintiff was reasonably foreseeable, and therefore, imputable to Defendant under the doctrine of Respondent Superior.

22.

Pursuant to the doctrine of Respondeat Superior, Defendant is responsible for the negligent conduct of Rolf.

Based on that information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Rolf's conduct was willful, malicious, fraudulent, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and therefore, punitive damages are appropriate in an amount according to proof.

24.

Rolf was not fit to drive a vehicle and Defendant acted recklessly in employing Rolf, retaining Rolf, and entrusting him with a vehicle. Thus, punitive damages are properly imputed to the Defendant for Rolf's acts.

COUNT II Negligent Hiring, Retention, Supervision

25.

Plaintiff hereby realleges the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint and hereby incorporates them as if fully set forth herein.

26.

Defendant owed a duty to the Plaintiff to exercise reasonable care in the carrying on of its business, in hiring, retaining, and supervising its employees, and entrusting its company vehicles to safe drivers.

27.

Defendant recklessly and/or negligently departed from the proper standard of care and breached its duty to the Plaintiff in the following manner:

(a) By hiring Rolf as an employee, when it knew or should have known of his lengthy criminal history related to driving offenses including, but not limited to, being previously charged with driving under the influence;

- (b) By retaining Rolf as an employee, when it knew or should have known of his lengthy criminal history related to driving offenses and use of illegal controlled substances;
- (c) By failing to properly supervise its employee; and
- (d) By entrusting its company vehicle to Rolf when it knew or should have known of his lengthy criminal history related to driving offenses and use of illegal controlled substances.

Defendant's breaches of the standard of reasonable care set forth above were reckless and/or negligent and the proximate cause of injuries and damages sustained by the Plaintiff.

29.

It was foreseeable that by the negligent hiring, supervision, and retention of Rolf that Plaintiff would be injured by Rolf.

30.

As a direct and proximate cause of the Defendant's recklessness and/or negligence, the Plaintiff has sustained injuries, including, but not limited to, personal injuries resulting in a course of medical treatment; permanent impairment and disability; disfigurement, past, present, and future pain and suffering; loss of enjoyment of the capacity of life, emotional distress; property damage; loss of past and future earnings; past and future medical costs and expenses; and other general and special damages; all of which are compensable under South Dakota law.

Based on that information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant's conduct was willful, malicious, fraudulent, oppressive, and in conscious disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and therefore, punitive damages are appropriate in an amount according to proof.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for damages against the Defendants as follows:

- (1) For Plaintiff's compensatory, general, punitive and special damages in an amount that the jury deems just and proper under the circumstances;
- (2) For Plaintiff's costs and disbursements herein;
- (3) For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and
- (4) For such other and further relief as the Court determines to be just and proper. Dated this 10th day of December, 2020.

JOHNSON, JANKLOW, ABDALLAH & REITER, L.L.P.

BY /s Steven M. Johnson
Steven M. Johnson (steve@janklowabdallah.com)
Sara E. Show (sara@janklowabdallah.com)
P.O. Box 2348
Sioux Falls, SD 57101-2348
(605) 338-4304

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby respectfully demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.

<u>/s/ Steven M. Johnson</u> Steven M. Johnson Sara E. Show

Case 3:20-cv-03022-RAL Document 1 Filed 12/10/20 Page 10 of 11 PageID #: 10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	OF THIS FC				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
Ashley Bluecoat				FedEx Ground Package System, Inc.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Dewey (SD) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)			
Steven M. Johnson/Sara L.L.P., P.O. Box 2348, S				Unknown			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff				
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State	FF DEF ⟨ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or of Business In		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☑ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	en of Another State	2	d Principal Place ☐ 5 🛣 5 n Another State	
			Citizen or Subject of a				
	SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS				Click here for: Nature	e of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 755 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Personal Injury - Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 385 Property Damage 70 385 Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 537 Other Fraud 70 Truth in Lending 71 Truth in Lending 72 September 1 September 2 September	Continue	CASE TURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 20 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/	
Proceeding Sta	moved from ate Court Cite the U.S. Civil State 28 U.S.C. § 1332	Appellate Court atute under which you at / 28 U.S.C. § 1391	Reop	nstated or 5 Transfer pened Anothe (specify) Do not cite jurisdictional state	er District Litigation Transfe	on - Litigation -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: ▼ Yes □ No				
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 12/10/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		signature of at /s/ Steven M.					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	МАС. Л	UDGE	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X"

- in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

 United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

П.

cases.)

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.